## BES 2 Agreements: Local Authority legal briefing

The BES 2 Agreement provides continued funding to support the bus industry during recovery from the impact of COVID-19. It reflects continued provision of funding from Welsh Government to support the bus industry, and has been drafted to support continued provision of services on a basis which provides a more structured, long-term arrangement. This note summarises the key legal issues that may affect local authorities.

## 1. Parties

- 1.1 The agreement has the following parties:
  - Welsh Government responsible for provision of funding and contracting with the operator for the provision of any additional services or standards that would not be provided in the absence of that funding.
  - Operator there is a separate agreement for each operator of local bus services in each region
  - Transport for Wales as for previous BES agreements, Transport for Wales is responsible for certain elements of the administration of the agreement.
  - Lead Authority as with BES 1.5 it is expected that a lead authority for each region will be a signatory to the agreement, managing the operator on behalf of the local authorities in their region, and coordinate some of the future activities proposed under the arrangements.
- 1.2 Note that it is not intended that each local authority will be a party to every agreement with every operator, but that this will be managed by the Lead Authority for the region. As with previous BES arrangements it will therefore be important that arrangements are made at regional level to agree how the contracts will be managed, including ensuring that there is sufficient local input into the exercise of Lead Authority obligations.
- 2. Approach to contract
- 2.1 The previous BES arrangements relied primarily on grant funding arrangements, including funding provided under COVID-19 specific frameworks for aid. However, the current BES 1.5 arrangements recognised that the approach taken in Wales to focus funding on necessary services meant that the arrangements may be viewed as a public service obligation contract (PSO), which provides greater flexibility for input by local authorities into the services that their local bus operators provide.
- 2.2 The BES 2 arrangements further reflect this, and are drafted primarily as a PSO directly awarded to each operator by Welsh Government. These are applied both to allow for the continuation of services which were formally commercial services, prior to the impact of COVID-19, and also to cover the additional costs relating to the continued provision of secured services as a result of COVID-19 (including both additional costs incurred as a result of e.g. social distancing, as well as covering the costs associated with lost revenue). The contracts are awarded by Welsh Government after careful consideration as to whether local authority powers could be relied upon powers are available to Welsh Government because it is not possible to consistently make use of local authority powers for these temporary measures.
- 2.3 Local authorities already let PSOs through their section 63 powers. Consideration was given to whether section 63 powers could be used for this contract, and the decision was taken that this would not be appropriate because:

- Direct award of section 63 contracts is limited local authorities would typically directly award service contracts through *de minimis* provisions, which have a limit of 40% of tendered service budgets. Seeking to provide funding through this route would not be possible where the *de minimis* cap was already, or would reach the *de minimis* cap, and therefore does not seem a viable way to fund services during the COVID-19 emergency. In any event, it is expected that local authorities will require these powers to award service contracts to meet specific local needs as operators exit BES 2. Whilst there are also emergency powers that can be used to direct award such contracts, they can only be used on a temporary basis and must be followed by a competition, and therefore are unsuitable for the current purposes.
- a competitive procurement of section 63 contracts is unlikely to be practicable, and does not provide the flexibility required to ensure that operators are providing the services needed during the COVID-19 crisis. Once services have been stabilised, the expectation is that local authorities would then use competitive procurement to procure continued services where they remain unviable commercially.
- 2.4 The contract is therefore based on use of Welsh Government powers under section 7 Transport (Wales) Act 2006, which mirrors the section 63 powers, but would only be expected to utilised where local authorities cannot exercise their section 63 powers. This provides for a clear division between:
  - Temporary BES support for services provided by Welsh Government under section 7 powers.
  - Changes in underlying procured service contracts to reflect the long-term requirement for services in absence of COVID-19 provided by local authorities under their section 63 powers.
- 2.5 The approach taken is therefore to layer the PSOs under the BES2 arrangements on top of existing arrangements e.g. they act as a temporary variation to any existing section 63 contract or commercial service, in each case providing funding to allow the operator to continue to operate those services, during the impact of COVID-19, with the provisions of BES2 falling away once that service becomes commercial again, or the BES2 arrangements come to an end. The exercise of Welsh Government powers under a direct award approach is limited to a maximum of 2 years (which would include the period from the start of BES 1.5, due to the possibility of payments under BES 1.5 also relying upon these powers), but could end sooner, if the market recovers.
- 3. Effect on existing section 63 contracts
- 3.1 Rather than letting new contracts in respect of provision of services that are currently provided under section 63 contracts, the BES2 arrangements aim to leave those arrangements in place and layer the additional support required to continue providing those services on top of the existing section 63 contracts.
- 3.2 Under the BES2 arrangements the Lead Authority therefore acknowledges on behalf of local authorities that, to the extent required, section 63 contracts are amended to allow for any additional payments required to the operators to allow continued provision of the services and to make any service alterations agreed with the local transport authority for the period of the BES2 arrangements. These amendments will reflect agreed service changes agreed at the local and regional level as being required during the impact of COVID-19
- 3.3 These amendments apply whether contracts are let on a net cost or gross cost basis. In each case the BES 2 contract sets out the implications of those amendments from a procurement

- perspective, and sets them within the appropriate procurement regime, setting out an approach to minimise procurement challenge risk associated with the short term amendment.
- 3.4 To the extent that the form of section 63 contract has any contractual processes that are required to be followed to allow for such amendment, the BES 2 agreements require the local transport authority to comply with such processes, so that changes can be effected.
- 3.5 Where BES2 arrangements come to an end, the variations effected also come to an end, and the section 63 contracts should continue as before for any remaining term.
- 4. Effect on future section 63 contracts
- 4.1 There is a risk that the effects of COVID-19 could impact on the letting of future service contracts, including the renewal of existing section 63 contracts.
- 4.2 To avoid this, the BES 2 arrangements make specific provision for the letting by local transport authorities of new section 63 contracts, with agreement of a set of assumptions in respect of BES2 funding that will apply to all bidders for a new contract. These assumptions will reflect the agreed level of funding to be provided to support those services whilst COVID-19 still impacts services. This will allow local transport authorities to set this as an assumption in their tender documents, so that bidders can tender on a level playing field in respect of the impact of COVID-19, removing this as a concern for bidders, and allowing for more competitive bidding, reflecting a post-COVID world.
- 4.3 This therefore allows local authorities to continue to let section 63 contracts during the term of BES2, but will require engagement with Lead Authorities, TfW and Welsh Government to ensure that appropriate assumptions are agreed and included in tenders.
- 5. Partnership
- 5.1 In recognition of the level of public sector funding being provided to support the bus industry, the BES 2 arrangements also require the operators to agree to a framework (umbrella) voluntary partnership agreement at a regional level.
- 5.2 The BES 2 arrangements therefore include a template for such an agreement which will then need to be agreed with local transport authorities in the region. The agreement provides a framework for more localised and specific voluntary partnership agreements at local level to support improved standards of bus services and partnership working at a regional level. The agreement is structured as a voluntary partnership agreement (VPA) within the meaning set out in the Transport Act 2000, and therefore is intended to provide a competition law compliant framework for future engagement with operators.
- 6. Standards of service
- 6.1 The BES 2 Agreement sets out standards of service that apply to operators who are receiving funding. Whilst it is possible for operators to move away from the BES 2 Agreement and start providing services to a lower standard (and therefore does not place an express restriction on operators choosing to move to commercial service and away from BES funding, as the market recovers, so does not act as a restraint on trade). However, it also makes clear that should operators move to operate at a standard below that agreed through the BES 2 arrangements (e.g. by offering lower frequencies or timings) then it is clearly recognised that, in turn, that means that they are offering services other than to the standard required this aligns with the test that applies for exercise of section 63 powers, so provides express justification for a future tender of services to the appropriate standard in accordance with local government powers, if the operator continues to provide services only to a lower standard. It should be noted that this

does not provide the local transport authority with the unfettered right to let such services in parallel with the commercial services, as it would also be necessary to apply the Part 1 Competition Test under Schedule 10 Transport Act 2000 to the exercise of such powers, but it does ensure that there is a clear acknowledgment from such an operator that the registration of a lower quality service does not place an express bar on the local transport authority procuring a higher quality service on the same route.

## 7. Level of compensation

- 7.1 The powers being utilised by Welsh Government to let this contract are also subject to Regulation 1370/2007 EU (which will continue in effect in 2021 with minor amendments as UK law). This regulation deals with both the relevant procurement procedure (and allows for direct award) and also sets out the appropriate state aid framework to ensure that funding provided under agreements awarded directly in this way do not amount to state aid.
- As a result, the compensation mechanism used in the contract draws on the reconciliation procedures already put in place under BES 1.5 and earlier to ensure that operators are not overcompensated. Unlike BES1.5, the agreement recognises that only covering operators costs without any margin available is unlikely to be a sustainable mechanism for public transport. The agreement therefore allows operators to earn a margin of (initially) 2% whilst operating services under BES 2. This reflects the requirement under Regulation 1370/2007 that operators are entitled to earn a reasonable profit margin in providing public service obligations. In determining that margin, the rate of return can be no greater than that which is normal for the sector, taking into account the risk, or absence of risk incurred by the operator.
- 7.3 The impact of COVID-19 has been to materially impact the revenue received by operators, and also to change their costs. The impact of the BES arrangements has been to de-risk that process for all operators. As the impact of BES arrangement has been to, in the short term, change that risk profile by managing the risk associated with lowered patronage, there is a risk that whatever level any margin was set this could be seen as benefitting one operator over another operators who were previously making greater profits (or losses) as a result of taking revenue risk, for example, both benefit in different ways form the provision of BES funding, but that reflects the fact that, in many cases, the BES support has also removed the risk that they were taking, and the impact of COVID-19 may have changed their costs. Therefore, as all operators have been moved to a similar risk profile and being protected in a similar way for impacts on operating costs, it therefore seems appropriate to set a consistent margin, which is the default position taken in the BES 2 agreement. It is, however, open to the public sector parties to seek to agree a different approach to margin during the term of BES 2.
- 7.4 It should also be noted that when the BES 2 agreements fall away, and the operator returns to the original terms of their contracts (or to commercial operation) they will continue to take the same risks, and have the same potential for profit (or loss) as they had prior to introduction of BES.